**CA and LEP – Schedule of Intent – July 16**

| **CA Theme** | **Policy** | **Strategy** | **Implementation** | **Transition issues** |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| **Housing** | CA: formulates and sets policy as part of wider Lancashire Plan  LEP: input to policy formulation with focus on growth and productivity drivers | CA: defines strategy with input from LEP  LEP: input from LEP reflecting prosperity strategy (SEP2) and market knowledge | CA: directs, manages and monitors programmes of investment where funding is via CA, liaising with LEP  LEP: directs, manages and monitors programmes of investment where funding is via LEP, liaising with CA  Note: Opportunities to strengthen joint relationships with HCA and public/private providers/developers |  |
| **Prosperity** | CA: sets policy as part of wider Lancashire Plan  LEP: defines realistic aspiration and formulates policy with focus on growth and productivity drivers | CA: signs-off "prosperity" strategies and growth bids; Leads on CA (and Mayoral) bids for funding with input from LEP  LEP: leads on prosperity strategy development (SEP2, including investment strategy), with input from local authorities; leads on LEP bids for investment/funding | CA: directs, manages and monitors programmes of investment where funding is via CA, liaising with LEP  LEP: directs, manages and monitors programmes of investment where funding is via LEP, liaising with CA  Opportunity/requirement for shared scrutiny and performance management arrangements  Note: potential for joint teams to strengthen capacity and capability | The LSEP is due for review in the autumn 2016.  The review period will be an opportunity for the LSEP to be jointly developed and owned.  Accountability for funds is a matter of fact. |In practice schemes are likely to have multiple funding streams and therefore the management and monitoring will need to be decided on a case by case basis. |
| **Skills (and Employment)** | CA: formulates and sets policy, in consultation with LEP, as part of the wider Lancashire Plan  LEP: inputs to policy formulation | The CA and LEP will work together to hone the Existing Skills and Employment Strategy and identify priorities for intervention which the deliver the most value. This will also include early years, primary and secondary education where there are gaps in performance and consideration of wider interventions such as the ‘work programme’.  The shared aim of the CA/LEP will be to establish a Skills and Employment Board reconstituting the existing Board to bring wider representation from business, community, and providers at all levels. | CA: directs, manages and monitors programmes of investment where funding is via CA, liaising with LEP  LEP: directs, manages and monitors programmes of investment where funding is via LEP, liaising with CA  Note: potential for joint teams to strengthen capacity and capability | Again there is an opportunity for the CA to adopt the existing strategy as a joint and supported by a joint board.    Agree potential for joint teams but also new work which might be more CA delivered |
| **Transport** | CA: formulates and sets policy through TfL, as part of wider Lancashire Plan  LEP: input to policy formulation through 2 voting Members on TfL (note: retention of current LEP representation on TfL) | CA: transport strategy underpinned by prosperity strategy and wider Lancashire Plan, but signed off by TfL  LEP: transport strategy driven by prosperity strategy, but signed off by TfL | CA: directs, manages and monitors programmes of investment where funding is via CA, liaising with LEP  LEP: directs, manages and monitors programmes of investment where funding is via LEP, liaising with CA  Note: potential for joint teams to strengthen capacity and capability | TLF has a direct relationship to the CA rather than the LEP although representation from the LEP remains unchanged.  There is an undertaking within the CA to move to shared accountability and liability amongst all 14 authorities. Current TFL authorities using their best endeavours to make this change.  See above on accountabilities but the main thrust here is TFL board remains the same but its principal accountability is to the CA |
| **Governance** | CA: formulates and sets CA governance and legal framework, with LEP consulted on current/future proposals  LEP: LEP Chair a non-constituent member of the CA; LEP input to formulation of current/future CA governance and legal framework; agrees with CA the role and responsibilities of the LEP within new framework | CA: Leads on development of current and future Governance Reviews. Leads on CA schemes, orders, constitution and other legal instruments; Leads on all CA consultation and communications  LEP: formal input to current and future developments of the CA governance and legal framework | CA: directs/manages CA governance and legal framework, and establishes scrutiny arrangements in consultation with LEP and other key stakeholders  LEP: directs/manages LEP governance and legal framework; LEP modifies its Performance Committee and Assurance Framework in recognition of established CA and new scrutiny arrangements | The CA will have an O&S function; audit and performance.  There may be opportunities for shared structures and or programmes particularly with O&S. |